



Module Evaluation for LAW5952M01 Central Issues in Arbitration

Project Title: **Module Evaluation 202324 - Semester 2 and Summer**

Modules Audience: **119**
Responses Received: **74**
Response Ratio: **62.18%**

Subject Details

Name	Central Issues in Arbitration
MODULE_CODE	LAW5952M01

Report Comments

This report is intended for use by Module Leaders and (where allowed by the School) Programme Leaders.

If you wish to download results as a PDF file, this can be done below (see the links on the right-hand side of the page). A CSV file containing raw data is also available.

Notes on Module Feedback

In the '**Module Feedback**' section you will find your students' answers to the university's 10 core survey statements. You will also see responses to School-level Likert scale questions, if these are in use in your area. All such questions are based on the 5-point Likert scale below:

Definitely agree – 5; Mostly agree – 4; Neither agree nor disagree – 3; Mostly disagree – 2; Definitely disagree – 1

In the '**Free Text Comments**' section you will find the comments left by your students for each question. If one or more questions do not appear, this means that no students answered these.

Reports are for internal use only. Module Leaders can, at their discretion, share the report with colleagues on teaching teams, Programme Leaders, DSEs, or those from whom they wish to seek support. Please be aware, however, that student comments are not redacted and may contain sensitive information.

Notes on CSV Report

If there are multiple module and/or programme leaders on a module, you may see duplicate lines of data returned in the CSV file. To remove these, please filter by your username in column C, 'Secondary Subject ID'.

More information on reports is available on the [Quality Assurance Team website](#).

If you have questions, feedback or require support please direct this to TheLeedsPartnership@leeds.ac.uk.

Creation Date: **Friday, May 31, 2024**

Module Feedback

	%Favourable	Mean	Median
Overall, I was satisfied with the quality of the module.	97.30%	4.74	5.00
Teaching on the module was of a high standard.	98.65%	4.76	5.00
Learning resources were intellectually stimulating and supported my learning.	94.59%	4.70	5.00
The content of the module was informed by relevant research and /or practice.	98.65%	4.74	5.00
The introduction to the module adequately prepared and informed me of the requirements to successfully engage with the module.	98.65%	4.74	5.00
The module provided opportunities to interact with others and to share knowledge, ideas and perspectives.	100.00%	4.77	5.00
All learning tasks and in-course assessments supported my understanding of the module's content.	98.65%	4.72	5.00
There were opportunities to provide in-course feedback on the delivery of the module and the learning resources provided.	98.65%	4.77	5.00
Feedback on my work during the module helped me monitor my progress and understanding.	100.00%	4.74	5.00
The module was well managed and organised.	97.30%	4.74	5.00

Free Text Comments

Use this space to comment upon anything you particularly liked about this module.

Comments
Very good
good
None
The seminars are well organized and I really enjoyed them
I really love the seminar! The questions are practical and interesting.
everything is good.
Teachers are very nice, and I think the PowerPoint of every seminar is very good to understand.
Professors are responsible and the teaching is professional. I like the style.
I particularly enjoyed the workshops and learnt so much during them. The preparation materials provided ahead of the workshops helped me to engage extensively with the material and be prepared. The lecturers are also very knowledgeable in their subject areas of expertise and it's been a great privilege learning from them.
good
no comment
The seminars and drop-in classes provide a detailed explain on my confusion.
every seminars are helpful and the stuffs in this module are very kind , teaching skillful
The Seminars helped me to focus key factors in arbitral issues, because Dr Virag asked questions, based on our answers she prepared bullet points for each seminars
Professor
In class, we will discuss and exchange ideas with our team members
panel discussion
No
Very good course

Use this space to comment upon anything you think could be improved upon for this module.

Comments
Very good
good
None
everything is good.
I like the chance to talk with each other, but the group member always absence. My group was always just two people
N/a
good
no comment
maybe use more cases make the lecture more attractive
The class is not that easy to understand, maybe more examples and cases
very good
No
None
I hope library could buy more online sources like the Wolters Kluwer
no